

ARTICLE CRITIQUE CHECKLIST

INTRODUCTION

<i>Problem</i>	Yes	No	N/A
Is there a statement of the problem or a qualitative topic of study?	_____	_____	_____
Does the problem of topic indicate a particular focus of study?	_____	_____	_____
Is the problem "researchable," that is, can it be investigated through the collection and analysis of data?	_____	_____	_____
Is the background information on the problem presented?	_____	_____	_____
Is the educational significance of the problem discussed?	_____	_____	_____
Does the quantitative problem statement indicate the variables of interest and the specific relationship between those variables that were investigated?	_____	_____	_____
Does the qualitative problem statement provide a general indication of the research topic or issue?	_____	_____	_____
When necessary, are variables directly or operationally defined?	_____	_____	_____
Does the researcher have the knowledge and skill to carry out the proposed research?	_____	_____	_____

Review of the Related Literature

Is the review comprehensive?	_____	_____	_____
Are all references cited relevant to the problem under investigation?	_____	_____	_____
Are most of the sources primary; i.e., are there only a few or no secondary sources?	_____	_____	_____
Have the references been analyzed and critiqued, and the results of various studies compared and contrasted? That is, is the review more than a series of abstracts or annotations?	_____	_____	_____
Is the relevancy of each reference explained?	_____	_____	_____
Is the review well organized?	_____	_____	_____
Does it logically flow in such a way that the references least related to the problem are discussed first and the most related references are discussed last?	_____	_____	_____

	Yes	No	N/A
Does it educate the reader about the problem or topic?	_____	_____	_____
Does the review conclude with a summary and interpretation of the literature and its implications for the problem investigated?	_____	_____	_____
Do the implications discussed form an empirical or theoretical rationale for the hypotheses that follow?	_____	_____	_____
Are references cited completely and accurately?	_____	_____	_____

Hypothesis

Are specific questions to be answered listed or specific hypotheses to be tested stated?	_____	_____	_____
Does each hypothesis state an expected relationship or difference?	_____	_____	_____
If necessary, are variables directly or operationally defined?	_____	_____	_____
Is each hypothesis testable?	_____	_____	_____

METHOD

Participants

Are the size and major characteristics of the population studied described?	_____	_____	_____
Are the accessible and target populations described?	_____	_____	_____
If a sample was selected, is the method of selecting the sample clearly described?	_____	_____	_____
Does the method of sample selection suggest any limitations or biases in the sample?	_____	_____	_____
For example, is stratified sampling used to obtain sample subgroups?	_____	_____	_____
Are the size and major characteristics of the sample described?	_____	_____	_____
Does the sample size meet the suggested guideline for minimum sample size appropriate for quantitative analyses?	_____	_____	_____

Instruments

Do instruments and their administration meet guidelines for protecting human subjects?	_____	_____	_____
--	-------	-------	-------

	Yes	No	N/A
Have needed permissions been obtained?	_____	_____	_____
Is the rationale given for the selection of the instruments (or measurements) used?	_____	_____	_____
Is each instrument described in terms of purpose, content, validity, and reliability?	_____	_____	_____
Are the instruments appropriate for measuring the intended variables?	_____	_____	_____
Does the researcher have the needed skills or experience to construct or administer an instrument?	_____	_____	_____
Is evidence presented to indicate that the instruments are appropriate for the intended sample? (For example, is the reading level of an instrument suitable for sample participants?)	_____	_____	_____
If appropriate, are subtests reliabilities given?	_____	_____	_____
If an instrument was developed specifically for the study, are the procedures involved in its development and validation described?	_____	_____	_____
If an instrument was developed specifically for the study, are administration, scoring or tabulating, and interpretation procedures fully described?	_____	_____	_____
Is the correct type of instrument used for data collection? (For example, using a norm-referenced instrument when a criterion-referenced one is more suitable.)	_____	_____	_____

Design and Procedures

Are the design and procedures appropriate for examining the research question or testing the hypotheses of the study?	_____	_____	_____
Are the procedures described in sufficient detail to permit them to be replicated by another researcher?	_____	_____	_____
Do procedures logically relate to each other?	_____	_____	_____
Are instruments and procedures applied correctly?	_____	_____	_____
If a pilot study was conducted, are its execution and results described as well as its impact on the subsequent study?	_____	_____	_____
Are control procedures described?	_____	_____	_____

	Yes	No	N/A
Did the researcher discuss or account for any potentially confounding variables that he or she was unable to control?	_____	_____	_____
Is the application of the qualitative method chosen described in detail?	_____	_____	_____
Is the context of the qualitative study described in detail?	_____	_____	_____

RESULTS

Are appropriate descriptive statistics presented?	_____	_____	_____
Was the probability level at which the results of the tests of significance were evaluated specified in advance of the data analysis?	_____	_____	_____
Was every hypothesis tested?	_____	_____	_____
If parametric tests were used, is there evidence that the researcher avoided violating the required assumptions for parametric tests?	_____	_____	_____
Are the tests of significance described appropriate, given the hypotheses and design of the study?	_____	_____	_____
Was the inductive logic used to produce results in a qualitative study made explicit?	_____	_____	_____
Are the tests of significance interpreted using the appropriate degrees of freedom?	_____	_____	_____
Are the results clearly described?	_____	_____	_____
Are the tables and figures (if any) well organized and easy to understand?	_____	_____	_____
Are the data in each table and figure described in the text?	_____	_____	_____

DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS, OR RECOMMENDATIONS

Is each result discussed in terms of the original hypothesis or topic to which it relates?	_____	_____	_____
Is each result discussed in terms of its agreement or disagreement with previous results obtained by other researchers in other studies?	_____	_____	_____
Are generalizations consistent with the results?	_____	_____	_____
Are the possible effects of uncontrolled variables on the results discussed?	_____	_____	_____

	Yes	No	N/A
Are theoretical and practical implications of the findings discussed?	_____	_____	_____
Are recommendations for future action made?	_____	_____	_____
Are the suggestions for future action based on practical significance or on statistical significance only; i.e., has the author avoided confusing practical and statistical significance?	_____	_____	_____
ABSTRACT OR SUMMARY			
Is the problem restated?	_____	_____	_____
Are the number and type of subjects and instruments described?	_____	_____	_____
Is the design used identified?	_____	_____	_____
Are procedures described?	_____	_____	_____
Are the major results and conclusions restated?	_____	_____	_____

TYPE-SPECIFIC EVALUATION CRITERIA

In addition to general criteria that can be applied to almost any study, additional questions should be asked depending on the type of research represented by the study. In other words, there are concerns that are specific to historical studies, and likewise to other qualitative, survey, correlational, causal-comparative, and experimental studies.

<i>Qualitative Research in General</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>N/A</i>
Does the topic statement provide a general sense of the study focus?	_____	_____	_____
Is the purposive sampling procedure described and related to the study focus?	_____	_____	_____
Is each data collection strategy described?	_____	_____	_____
Is the role the researcher assumed stated (e.g., observer, participant observer, interviewer, etc.)?	_____	_____	_____
Is the research site and the researcher's entry into it described?	_____	_____	_____
Were the data collection strategies used appropriately, given the purpose of the study?	_____	_____	_____
Were strategies used to strengthen the validity and reliability of the data (e.g., triangulation)?	_____	_____	_____
Is there a description of how any unexpected ethical issues were handled?	_____	_____	_____
Were strategies used to minimize observer bias and observer effect described?	_____	_____	_____
Are the researcher's reactions and notes differentiated from descriptive field notes?	_____	_____	_____
Are data coding strategies described and examples of coded data given?	_____	_____	_____
Is the inductive logic applied to the data to produce results stated in detail?	_____	_____	_____
Are conclusions supported by data (e.g., are direct quotes used to illustrate points made)?	_____	_____	_____

Observation Studies**Yes No N/A**

Are observational variables defined?

How were observers trained?

Did different observers work and score independently?

Were observers required to observe only one behavior at a time?

Was a coded recording instrument used?

Are the qualifications and special training of the observers described?

Was the level of interobserver reliability sufficiently high?

Were efforts made to overcome observer bias and observer effect?

Was observation of subjects the most appropriate approach for data collection (as opposed to use of some unobtrusive measure)?

Was a description of how the observational data were analyzed provided?

Historical Research**Yes No N/A**

Were the sources of data related to the problem mostly primary?

Was each piece of data subjected to external criticism?

Was each piece of data subjected to internal criticism?

Does the researcher examine the possibility of personal bias in the study analysis and conclusion?

Are causal inferences or conclusions warranted given the data studied?

Is the report of the study an integrated, synthesized, chronological presentation of the results?

Survey — Questionnaire Studies**Yes No N/A**

Are questionnaire validation procedures described?

Was the questionnaire pretested?

Are pilot study procedures and results described?

Are directions to questionnaire respondents clear?	_____	_____	_____
Does each item in the questionnaire relate to one of the objectives of the study?	_____	_____	_____
Does each questionnaire item deal with a single concept?	_____	_____	_____
When necessary, is a point of reference given for questionnaire items?	_____	_____	_____
Does the cover letter explain the purpose and importance of the study and give the potential responder a good reason for cooperating?	_____	_____	_____
If appropriate, is confidentiality or anonymity of responses assured in the cover letter?	_____	_____	_____
What is the percentage of returns and how does it affect the study results?	_____	_____	_____
Are followup activities to increase returns described?	_____	_____	_____
If the response rate was low, was any attempt made to determine any major differences between responders and nonresponders?	_____	_____	_____
Are data analyzed in groups or clusters rather than a series of many single variable analyses?	_____	_____	_____
<i>Survey — Interview Studies</i>	Yes	No	N/A
Were the interview procedures pretested?	_____	_____	_____
Are pilot study procedures and results described?	_____	_____	_____
Does each item in the interview guide relate to a specific objective of the study?	_____	_____	_____
When necessary, is a point of reference given in the guide for interview items?	_____	_____	_____
Are leading questions avoided in the interview guide?	_____	_____	_____
Is the language and complexity of the questions appropriate for the participants?	_____	_____	_____
Does the interview guide indicate the type and amount of prompting and probing that was permitted?	_____	_____	_____
Are the qualifications and special training of the interviewers described?	_____	_____	_____
Is the method used to record responses described?	_____	_____	_____
Did the researcher use the most reliable, unbiased method of recording responses that could have been used?	_____	_____	_____

Did the researcher specify how the responses to semistructured and unstructured items were quantified and analyzed?	_____	_____	_____
<i>Correlation — Relationship Studies</i>	Yes	No	N/A
Were variables carefully selected; i.e., was a shotgun approach avoided?	_____	_____	_____
Is the rationale for variable selection described?	_____	_____	_____
Are conclusions and recommendations based on values of correlation coefficients corrected for attenuation or restriction in range?	_____	_____	_____
Do the conclusions avoid suggesting causal relationships between the variables investigated?	_____	_____	_____
<i>Correlation — Prediction Studies</i>	Yes	No	N/A
Is a rationale given for selection of predictor variables?	_____	_____	_____
Is the criterion variable well defined?	_____	_____	_____
Was the resulting prediction equation validated with at least one other group?	_____	_____	_____
<i>Causal — Comparative Studies</i>	Yes	No	N/A
Are the characteristics or experiences that differentiate the groups (the independent variable) clearly defined or described?	_____	_____	_____
Are critical extraneous variables identified?	_____	_____	_____
Were any control procedures applied to equate the groups on extraneous variables?	_____	_____	_____
Are causal relationships found discussed with due caution?	_____	_____	_____
Are plausible alternative hypotheses discussed?	_____	_____	_____
<i>Experimental Research</i>	Yes	No	N/A
Was an appropriate experimental design selected?	_____	_____	_____
Is a rationale for design selection given?	_____	_____	_____
Are sources of invalidity associated with the design identified and discussed?	_____	_____	_____
Is the method of group formation described?	_____	_____	_____
Was the experimental group formed in the same way as the control group?	_____	_____	_____

Were groups randomly formed and the use of existing groups avoided?

Were treatments randomly assigned to groups?

Were critical extraneous variables identified?

Were any control procedures applied to equate groups on extraneous variables?

Were possible reactive arrangements (e.g., the Hawthorne effect) controlled for?

Were tables clear and pertinent to the research results?

Were the results generalized to the appropriate group?
